



TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Statement.....	Page.
The facts.....	1
Origin of the Commission's inquiry.....	4
Holding of the courts below.....	7
The questions presented.....	9
History of the times in which Congress created the Trade Commission.....	10
Previous legislation.....	11
Provisions of the Trade Commission Act directly involved in this suit.....	13
Argument.....	14
	17

I.

Congress has power to compel the giving of information and production of documents in any inquiry concerning a subject matter over which it has jurisdiction to legislate.....	17
1. The Constitution conferred all powers proper for the exercise of each power expressly granted.....	18
2. Among powers so granted is that to acquire information..	21
3. It is not necessary to establish in each instance that the information required is indispensable to legislative action.....	31
4. The specific character of the action contemplated by Congress need not be shown in order that information may be required.....	33
5. Information respecting prices may be required, though no power to fix reasonable prices exists.....	34
6. Information required should be had at least as to articles of prime necessity.....	35

II.

Congress can constitutionally authorize an administrative body to collect information respecting any subject over which it has legislative jurisdiction.....	37
--	----

III.

Jurisdiction of Congress over interstate commerce and extent of the power to require information.....	44
1. Commerce among the States includes the purchase and sale of commodities between citizens in different States.....	44
2. Power to regulate extends to all matters which may burden or restrain interstate commerce, even though not actually a part thereof.....	46
3. Power of Congress to require information respecting interstate commerce is broader than the power to regulate, and extends to ascertaining what, if any, burdens or restraints upon such commerce are threatened.....	48

II

Argument—Continued.

IV.

	Page.
The information called for by the questionnaires concerns interstate commerce itself, or matters so closely related thereto as to be necessary to an intelligent report upon conditions existing in such commerce, and may be lawfully required.....	52
1. The information is necessary to show whether the law of supply and demand operates.....	52
2. Each item required relates to subject matter upon which Congress may legislate.....	55
a. Prices.....	55
b. Cost of commodities sold in interstate commerce..	56
c. Statistics of production and productive capacity..	57
d. Orders booked during month and unfilled orders outstanding at end of month.....	59
e. Balance sheets.....	60
3. Impossibility of segregating required data as between interstate and intrastate commerce.....	61

V.

Congress has power to provide for the investigation of corporations and the compulsory making of reports by them, and for the publication of the facts for the purpose of applying the corrective force of public opinion to the practices of corporations.	65
---	----

VI.

Congress has power to compel the giving of information and production of documents to show whether the laws which the commission is charged with enforcing are being violated, and demand therefor falls within the visitatorial power of Congress over corporations engaged in interstate commerce.....	71
--	----

VII.

The Trade Commission Act authorizes the commission to require the information and reports specified in the questionnaires..	73
1. The Commission's action was within the text of the law..	73
2. The Commission's action was in harmony with the long interpretation of this and other acts by the Government.....	74
3. The Commission's action was in harmony with the Congressional interpretation of the Trade Commission Act.	77
4. The call for monthly reports was lawful.....	79

VIII.

The demand for the information contained in the questionnaires in the manner and form made does not violate the fourth or the fifth amendment to the Federal Constitution.....	81
The fifth amendment.....	81
The fourth amendment.....	83
Appendix "A". Report of Mr. Covington from House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce (with minority report).....	88

AUTHORITIES CITED.

	Page.
A. L. R. (V. 9, P. 1341).....	30
American Column & Lumber Co. v. United States (257 U. S. 377).....	57
Anheuser-Busch Brewing Assn. v. Houck, 27 S. W. 692; affirmed 30 S. W. 869.....	35
Arnolt v. Coal Co., 68 N. Y. 558.....	35
Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co. v. Interstate Commerce Commission (221 U. S. 612).....	86
Board of Trade v. Olsen (262 U. S. 1).....	30
Boyd v. United States (106 U. S. 633).....	83
Brown v. Maryland (12 Wheat. 419).....	45
Burnham v. Morrissey (14 Gray, 226).....	30
Burton v. United States (202 U. S. 367).....	38
Buttfield v. Stranahan (192 U. S. 470).....	41
Central Shade Roller Co. v. Cushman (103 Mass. 353, 9 N. E. 629)...	35
Chapman, In re (106 U. S. 661).....	27, 33, 40
Consolidated Rendering Co. v. Vermont (207 U. S. 541).....	85
Crenshaw v. Ark. (227 U. S. 389).....	45
Dahnke-Walker Milling Co. v. Bondurant (257 U. S. 282).....	45
Davis v. Virginia (236 U. S. 697).....	45
Dozier v. Ala. (218 U. S. 124).....	45
Dutton v. Knoxville (121 Tenn. 26; 113 S. W. 381).....	35
Eduards v. Darby (12 Wheat. 206).....	75
Essee Co. v. United States (262 U. S. 151).....	84, 85
Fairbank v. United States (181 U. S. 283, 310).....	76
Federal Trade Commission v. Beech-Nut Packing Co. (257 U. S. 441)...	72
Field v. Clark (143 U. S. 649).....	41
First National Bank v. Union Trust Co. (244 U. S. 416, 424).....	43
Flint v. Stone Tracy Co. (220 U. S. 107, 175-176).....	68, 74
Gibbons v. Ogden (9 Wheat. 1, 188).....	45, 46
Gloucester Ising Glass Co. v. Russia Cement Co. (154 Mass. 92, 27 N. E. 105).....	35
Hale v. Henkel (201 U. S. 43).....	72, 85
Hamilton v. Kentucky Distilleries Co. (251 U. S. 146, 161).....	32
Hammond Packing Co. v. Ark. (212 U. S. 322).....	85
Harriman v. Interstate Commerce Commission (211 U. S. 407).....	25
Houston & Texas Ry. Co. v. United States (234 U. S. 342).....	46, 78
Interstate Commerce Commission v. Brimson (154 U. S. 447, 476).....	21, 33
Interstate Commerce Commission v. Goodrich Transit Co. (224 U. S. 194).....	40, 42, 49, 50, 72
Komada v. United States (215 U. S. 392, 396).....	67, 77
Legal Tender Cases (110 U. S. 421, 440; 12 Wall. 457, 536).....	19, 43
Loewe v. Lawlor (208 U. S. 274).....	47

IV

	Page.
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i> (4 Wheat. 315).....	19, 43
<i>McDonald v. Keeler</i> (99 N. Y. 463).....	27
<i>Minnesota Rate Cases</i> (230 U. S. 352).....	65
<i>Morris Run Coal Co. v. Barclay</i> (68 Penn St. 176).....	35
<i>Murray v. Hoboken Land Co.</i> (18 Howard, 274, 285).....	83
<i>Pacific Factor Co. v. Adler</i> (27 Pac. 36).....	35
<i>Pa. R. R. Co. v. U. S. Railroad Labor Board et al.</i> (decided Feb. 19, 1923).....	68
<i>Pennoyer v. McConaughy</i> (140 U. S. 1, 23).....	76
<i>People ex rel. Bender v. Milliken</i> (185 N. Y. 35; 77 N. E. 872).....	29, 41
<i>People v. Sharp</i> (107 N. Y. 427; 14 N. E. 319).....	29
<i>Pensacola Telegraph Co. v. Western Union Telegraph Co.</i> (96 U. S. 1).....	46
<i>Railroad Commission of Wisconsin et al. v. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad</i> (257 U. S. 563).....	46, 65
<i>Raymond v. Leavitt</i> (46 Mich. 447).....	35
<i>Rearick v. Penna.</i> (203 U. S. 507).....	45
<i>Richardson v. Buhl</i> (77 Mich. 632; 43 N. W. 1102).....	35
<i>Robbins v. Shelby County Taxing District</i> (120 U. S. 489).....	45
<i>Robertson v. Downing</i> (127 U. S. 607, 613).....	75, 77
<i>Selective Draft Law Cases</i> (245 U. S. 366, 377).....	31
<i>Sheppard v. Bryant</i> (191 Mass. 591; 78 N. E. 394).....	29
<i>Shreveport Rate Case</i> (234 U. S. 342).....	46, 78
<i>Smith v. Interstate Commerce Commission</i> (245 U. S. 33, 42)....	22, 33, 40, 42
<i>Stafford v. Wallace</i> (258 U. S. 495).....	30, 45, 47, 51
<i>Standard Oil Co. v. U. S.</i> (221 U. S. 1, 52).....	48, 56
<i>Stewart v. Michigan</i> (232 U. S. 665).....	45
<i>Swift & Co. v. U. S.</i> (196 U. S. 375).....	45
<i>Telegraph Co. v. Texas</i> (105 U. S. 460).....	46
<i>Union Bridge Co. v. U. S.</i> (204 U. S. 364).....	42
<i>United Shoe Machinery Co. v. La Chapelle</i> , (212 Mass. 467, 480).....	35
<i>United States v. American Tobacco Co.</i> (221 U. S. 106).....	48
<i>United States v. Falk</i> (204 U. S. 143, 152).....	76, 77
<i>United States v. Ferger</i> (250 U. S. 199).....	47
<i>United States v. Fisher</i> (2 Cranch 358, 396).....	43
<i>United States v. Grimaud</i> (220 U. S. 506).....	42
<i>United States v. Hermanos</i> (209 U. S. 337, 339).....	77
<i>United States v. International Harvester Co.</i> (214 Fed. 987).....	48
<i>United States v. Moore</i> (95 U. S. 760, 763).....	75
<i>United States v. Patten</i> (226 U. S. 525).....	47
<i>United States v. Philbrick</i> (120 U. S. 52).....	76
<i>United States v. Reading Co.</i> (226 U. S. 324, S. C. 253 U. S. 27).....	48
<i>United States v. State Bank of N. C.</i> (6 Pet. 29, 39).....	75
<i>Watson on the Constitution</i> (p. 114).....	38
<i>Wayman v. Southard</i> (10 Wheat. 1, 42, 43).....	39
<i>Wheeler v. U. S.</i> (226 U. S. 478).....	81, 84
<i>Wilson v. U. S.</i> (221 U. S. 361).....	81, 84
<i>Wilson</i> (President's message to Congress), January 20, 1914.....	12

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS CITED.

United States Constitution:	Page.
Article I, section 1	18
Article I, section 8	18
Fourth Amendment	67, 83
Fifth Amendment	81
Deficiency Appropriation Act, fiscal year 1920	8
Bureau of Corporations Act (32 Stat. 825)	13, 66
Federal Trade Commission Act (Chap. 311, 38 Stat. 717):	
Section 5	70, 83, 85
Section 6	14, 15, 41, 65, 69, 73, 80
Section 9	16
Section 10	16
Act to Regulate Commerce, section 12 (24 Stat. at L., 379)	41, 78
Packers' and Stockyards' Act of 1921 (42 Stat. 159)	43
Grain Futures Act (42 Stat. 998)	43
Sherman Antitrust Act (26 Stat. 209)	56, 57
Tariff Act of 1909 (36 Stat., c. 6, pp. 11, 112-117)	67
Transportation Act of 1920 (C. 91, 41 Stat. 456, 469)	67, 68
Corporations Tax Act	67
Clayton Act (38 Stat. 730)	72